

From: [Rust, Lynn](#)
To: [document.control](#)
Cc: [Humphrey, George](#); [Daly, Keith](#); [Liles, Casey](#); [Williams, Mike \(CRC\)](#)
Subject: Metro's request for another agreement
Date: Thursday, August 26, 2010 7:44:43 AM
Attachments: [Cor-Metro-CRC-2010-08-25.pdf](#)

Hi Project Controls,

Please file in correspondence from Metro-Andy Cotugno to CRC-Don Wagner. This is the start of negotiating for another agreement. Possibly file under DOT agreements-Metro-correspondence??

The piece that is going forward immediately is on the back: Adoption of a Land use Final Order providing a consolidated approval and finding in support of approval under Oregon land use laws and regulations. Except it is moving forward under Y9245 as an amendment for task AH by adding Mark Greenfield as a subconsultant. Approximately \$38,000.

Lynn Rust
Assistant Deputy Project Director
I-5 Columbia River Crossing Project
700 Washington Street
Vancouver WA 98660
360-816-2177

Front Desk: Toll Free 1-866-396-2726

 Metro | Memo

Date: Thursday, August XX, 2010
To: JPACT
From: Andy Cotugno
Subject: Columbia River Crossing – Follow-up

Doug - This is a draft of what Andy would like to take to JPACT in September or October. This is likely to be the basis for his funding request. No numbers were given on hours shaved off costs.

Don

At the August 12 JPACT meeting, the Committee heard a report on the Columbia River Crossing Project consisting of the following:

1. Actions taken by the Project Sponsors Council at their August 9 meeting approving a number of project refinement actions (a memo presenting the recommendations approved by the PSC is included as Attachment A), including:
 - a. A revised Hayden Island Interchange.
 - b. An assessment of key performance measures and their application to the 10 and 12-lane options now under consideration.
 - c. An assessment of alternative 8, 10 and 12-lane configurations by the consulting firm commissioned by the City of Portland, URS.
 - d. Definition of a Post-Construction Travel Demand Management Program.
 - e. An assessment of induced growth due to construction of the CRC project.
2. A review of the CRC project financing plan (the Power Point reviewed by JPACT is included at Attachment B), including the following key elements:
 - a. Federal funding through the Corridors of National Significance Program
 - b. Federal funding through the FTA New Starts Program
 - c. State funding through the Oregon and Washington Legislatures
 - d. Local funding for C-TRAN LRT operations
 - e. Toll funding
3. A review of the report of the Independent Review Panel to Governors Kulongoski and Gregoir (Attachment C is the Executive Summary of the report which includes an itemized list of findings and recommendations; the full report can be accessed at: http://governor.oregon.gov/Gov/docs/IRP_report_072410_lowres.pdf), including recommendations on:
 - a. The need to acknowledge agreed upon changes to the adopted "Locally Preferred Alternative" and address conditions adopted as part of the approval.
 - b. The need to complete compliance with the NEPA process and the likelihood that it will require 6 months to a year to complete.
 - c. The need to address governance in both the short and long-term.
 - d. The adequacy of the financing plan.

With the completion of these significant project activities, it is time to take appropriate actions to enable the project to move forward and to codify these key conclusions. Specifically, actions by JPACT and the Metro Council will be scheduled as follows:

1. An amendment to the Regional Transportation Plan
 - a. documenting findings relative to the conditions of approval that were adopted as part of Resolution No. 08-3960B;

- b. acknowledging the changes to project scope, including a revised Hayden Island Interchange, delineation of local street improvements on Hayden Island and in the vicinity of the Marine Drive interchange and delineation of connections to the regional trail/bike network;
 - c. Accepting the conclusion on the number of auxiliary lanes beyond the three through lanes in each direction;
 - d. inclusion of a post-construction travel demand management program;
 - e. refinement to the finance plan.
2. Adoption of a Land Use Final Order providing a consolidated approval and finding in support of approval under Oregon land use laws and regulations.
 3. Endorsement of a regional position on priorities for seeking transportation revenue measure(s) from the 2011 Oregon Legislature, including a position on funding for the CRC Project.

Cc: Metro Council

Attachments:

Attachment A – Recommendations Memo to CRC Project Sponsors Council

Attachment B – Power Point on CRC Cost and Financial Feasibility

Attachment C – Executive Summary of the CRC Independent Review Panel Final Report

Dick Better

DRAFT