

PAYMENT VOUCHER

Acct Period **12/2009**

Voucher # **XV 449 009977**

Vendor No. **911559925 L**

Totals Ret Total **\$0.00** N/P Total **\$0.00** Total **\$10,282.18**

Vendor: **SOUTHWEST WA REG TRANS COUNCIL**

Address 1: **PO BOX 1366**

Address 2:

City, State, Zip: **VANCOUVER**

WA98666-1366

Y/E Phase Voucher Date **07/07/2009**

Status **AWAITING APPROVAL**

PAGE NUMBER: **1 OF 1**

Invoice				Reference		Retainage		
P. Auth	Date	Number	P. Agree	Order No.	Quantity	P/F	Type	Amount
	06/30/09	11278	GCA5647 0		0.000			

Distribution												
Job No.	Work Op	Obj	Org	B/S Acct	Parcel	C. Section Equip No.	Revenue Source	Fund	Activity	Appr.	Agency	Disc. Type
XL2268	45	0112	JK01	4411 01		060101						
Estimated Accrual Document Ref #			Service Request No.		Location Code		N/P Amount		Total Amount		I/D	
									\$10,282.18			

Invoice				Reference		Retainage		
P. Auth	Date	Number	P. Agree	Order No.	Quantity	P/F	Type	Amount

Distribution												
Job No.	Work Op	Obj	Org	B/S Acct	Parcel	C. Section Equip No.	Revenue Source	Fund	Activity	Appr.	Agency	Disc. Type
Estimated Accrual Document Ref #			Service Request No.		Location Code		N/P Amount		Total Amount		I/D	

Invoice				Reference		Retainage		
P. Auth	Date	Number	P. Agree	Order No.	Quantity	P/F	Type	Amount

Distribution												
Job No.	Work Op	Obj	Org	B/S Acct	Parcel	C. Section Equip No.	Revenue Source	Fund	Activity	Appr.	Agency	Disc. Type
Estimated Accrual Document Ref #			Service Request No.		Location Code		N/P Amount		Total Amount		I/D	

(01) HART/KIM JUN09 WORK

User Name
MARY FOUTS

Received By *Mary Fouts* Date **7/07/09**

Checked and Approved for Processing By _____ Date _____

Comments:
Signature of Approving Authority *Douglas P. Ficco* Date **7/09/09**



1300 Franklin Street, Floor 4
P.O. Box 1366
Vancouver, WA 98666-1366

360-397-6067
360-397-6132 fax
<http://www.rtc.wa.gov/>

RECEIVED

JUL 07 2009

Columbia River Crossing
HAND DELIVERED
by Bob Hart

M. Jants

July 7, 2009

Member Jurisdictions

- Clark County
- Skamania County
- Klickitat County
- City of Vancouver
- City of Camas
- City of Washougal
- City of Battle Ground
- City of Ridgefield
- City of La Center
- Town of Yacolt
- City of Stevenson
- City of North Bonneville
- City of White Salmon
- City of Bingen
- City of Goldendale
- C-TRAN
- Washington DOT
- Port of Vancouver
- Port of Camas-Washougal
- Port of Ridgefield
- Port of Skamania County
- Port of Klickitat
- Metro
- Oregon DOT
- 15th Legislative District
- 17th Legislative District
- 18th Legislative District
- 49th Legislative District

Doug Ficco, Director
Columbia River Crossing Project
Vancouver Center
700 Washington Street, Suite 300
Vancouver, WA 98660-3177

RE: I-5 Columbia River Crossing Study (GCA 5647)

Dear Doug,

Enclosed is our June 2009 invoice and progress report for the I-5 Columbia River Crossing Study (GCA 5647).

If you have any questions, please call me at 360-397-6067, ext. 5210.

Sincerely,

Patty Raedy
Accountant

Encl:

SW WA Regional Transportation Council
PO Box 1366
Vancouver, WA 98666-1366



Invoice
Invoice Number:
11278

Invoice Date:
Jun 30, 2009

Page:
1

Voice: 360-397-6067
Fax: 360-397-6132

RECEIVED

JUL 07 2009

Columbia River Crossing

Sold To:
WSDOT, SW Region
PO Box 1709
Vancouver, WA 98668-1709

Customer ID	Payment Terms	Sales Rep ID
WSDOT, SW Region	Due on Receipt	Patty

Invoice Date	Description	Amount
Jun 30, 2009	I-5 Columbia River Crossing (AD) Study (GCA 5647) June, 2009 Bob Hart, 65 hours Shinwon Kim, 46 hours	10,282.18

OK To pay \$10,282.18

7-7-09

Group 45 JH

TOTAL DUE

10,282.18



RTC COLUMBIA RIVER CROSSING PROJECT
Task AD PROGRESS REPORT
SOUTHWEST WASHINGTON REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COUNCIL
June 2009

Key Activities

Management and Administration

RTC staff briefed the RTC Director on upcoming issues prior to the June SASS meeting. Key SASS items included a debrief on the June PSC meeting, final selection of a performance measures group, and the tolling listening sessions.

RTC staff has had ongoing participation in the regular FTA/FHWA meetings. Items included a debrief on the FTA June 4th quarterly meeting, Vancouver Work Group recommendations on McLoughlin, and progress on the PMP, Risk Assessment, and Technical Capacity reports.

The New Starts Strategy Group met in June. Several items were initiated and discussed. The NSSG confirmed that a 2018 No Build was not needed for FTA requirements. However, further discussion determined that 2018 No Build air quality hotspot analysis must be conducted for the FEIS. Regional AQ analysis is not needed. The NSSG also reviewed changes to the New Starts rating methodology proposed by FTA.

NSSG continued discussion of the schedule for PE approval and the subsequent impact on the requirement for a 2009 New Starts submittal. At this point, a new submittal is not needed. An adjustment may be necessary if the Vancouver Work Group effort results in a significant change to park and ride capacity in the bridge influence area. The NSSG also continued discussion on the shared use of BRT and LRT in downtown Vancouver. Immediate concerns had to do with the impact of additional BRT lines (to the Fourth Plain BRT) being added into the mix.

Financial Structures

Participated in conference calls and discussions with the CRC team on the tolling survey work, the outreach effort and traffic revenue technical group. RTC conducted thorough review of the stated preference (SP) survey approach and methodology and provided technical comments to CRC team, Stantec, and RSG. RTC also reiterated the importance of the need for coordination between the SP work for CRC and the travel behavior survey being led by RTC this fall.

Communications

RTC staff attended the CRC Open House at the Quay on June 24, assisted CRC staff, and answered questions/concerns from the public about the project. RTC also attended the Tolling Listening Session held at WSDOT SWR on June 30.

Transportation and Transit

RTC participated in TDM work group meetings. The Work Group reviewed the proposed trip reduction matrix. There was extensive discussion about the efficacy of the mix of strategies proposed in the draft PowerPoint presentation for SASS. The next task of the WG is to confirm the strategy mix and remove the reduction ranges in order to have a single recommendation. Additional work involved discussion between the two transit districts regarding proposed improvements to transit service, provision of park and ride capacity, and full fare reciprocity.

RTC provided documentation to the CRC team on the opening year model runs. Also worked in partnership with Metro and the CRC team to perform quality check and review opening year outputs for the model runs and prepared modeling output summaries.

The MST determined that the need for air quality runs will be limited to CO hotspot analysis for selected intersection/interchanges within the BIA.

RTC, in coordination with Metro and the MST, began discussion of what additional work or model runs may be needed to address FTA/FHWA concerns about including alternatives 2,3,4 and 5 from the DEIS into the FEIS. The process began with a review of model versions, networks, land use and zonal differences between the DEIS and the FEIS. RTC prepared refinement and coding of C-TRAN transit networks for a series of DEIS/FEIS sensitivity runs. They will be used to make the case that DEIS forecast information can be brought forward into the FEIS.

Monthly Hours by Task

Work Element 1.0:	Project Management	13
Work Element 3.0:	Financial Structures	12
Work Element 4.0:	Communications	7
Work Element 5.0:	Transportation Planning	15
Work Element 6.0:	Environmental	0
Work Element 7.0:	Transit Planning/Engineering	64
Work Element 8.0:	Design Engineering	0
Total Hours for June 2009		111

RTC

March 2009 Billing			Invoice 11238
	Hours	Average/Hr	Group 45
Element 1.0	21	\$ 1,855.10	
Element 2.0	0	\$ -	
Element 3.0	0	\$ -	
Element 4.0	8	\$ 706.71	
Element 5.0	17	\$ 1,501.75	
Element 6.0	0	\$ -	
Element 7.0	47	\$ 4,151.90	
Element 8.0	4	\$ 353.35	
	97	\$ 8,568.81	\$ 34,908.30
		\$ 88.34	Average/Hour

April 2009 Billing			Invoice 11253
	Hours	Average/Hr	Group 45
Element 1.0	19	\$ 1,914.93	
Element 2.0	0	\$ -	
Element 3.0	2	\$ 201.57	
Element 4.0	0	\$ -	
Element 5.0	23	\$ 2,318.07	
Element 6.0	0	\$ -	
Element 7.0	53	\$ 5,341.65	
Element 8.0	2	\$ 201.57	
	99	\$ 9,977.79	\$ 24,930.51
		\$ 100.79	Average/Hour

May 2009 Billing			Invoice 11266
	Hours	Average/Hr	Group 45
Element 1.0	17	\$ 1,754.52	
Element 2.0	0	\$ -	
Element 3.0	9	\$ 928.87	
Element 4.0	0	\$ -	
Element 5.0	16	\$ 1,651.32	
Element 6.0	0	\$ -	
Element 7.0	46	\$ 4,747.53	
Element 8.0	0	\$ -	
	88	\$ 9,082.24	\$ 15,848.27
		\$ 103.21	Average/Hour

June 2009 Billing			Invoice 11278
	Hours	Average/Hr	Group 45
Element 1.0	13	\$ 1,204.22	
Element 2.0	0	\$ -	
Element 3.0	12	\$ 1,111.59	
Element 4.0	7	\$ 648.43	
Element 5.0	15	\$ 1,389.48	
Element 6.0	0	\$ -	
Element 7.0	64	\$ 5,928.46	
Element 8.0	0	\$ -	
	111	\$ 10,282.18	\$ 5,566.09
		\$ 92.63	Average/Hour